arXiv:1606.06392v1 [math.AP] 21 Jun 2016

MEAN CURVATURE FLOWS OF GRAPHS WITH NEUMANN BOUNDARY CONDITION

JINJU XU

Abstract. In this paper, we study the mean curvature flow of graphs with Neumann boundary condition. The main aim is to use the maximum principle to get the boundary gradient estimate for solutions. In particular, we obtain the corresponding existence theorem for the mean curvature flow of graphs.

Keywords: Maximum principle, Mean curvature flow, Neumann problem, Gradient estimate

1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the following Neumann boundary value problem for the general mean curvature flow of graphs

$$u_t - \sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}(Du)u_{ij} = -f(x,u,Du) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\times[0,\infty), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = \psi(x,u) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega\times[0,\infty), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with C^3 boundary $\partial\Omega$ and γ is an inward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$; $Du = (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n})$ denotes the space gradient of u; $f : \overline{\Omega}\times\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\psi : \overline{\Omega}\times\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ are given functions, and $u_0 : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbf{R}$, the initial value, is a smooth function and satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \gamma} - \psi(x,u_0) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

Here

$$a^{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{1+|p|^2}, \quad \text{for} \quad p\in\mathbf{R}^n.$$

This problem (1.1)-(1.3) describes the evolution of graph $(u(x,t))$ by its mean curvature in the direction of the unit normal vector with Neumann boundary value condition.

This problem has been studied by many authors. For $f = 0$ and $\psi = 0$ in (1.1)-(1.3), G.Huisken [5] proved that the solution remains smooth and bounded and asymptotically converges to a constant function. B.Andrews and J.Clutterbuck [2] studied the problem

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B45; Secondary 35J92, 35B50.

for a convex domain and initial data $u_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. For the general prescribed contact angle boundary value condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = \phi(x)\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty) \tag{1.4}$$

with the initial data u_0 satisfying

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \gamma} = \phi(x)\sqrt{1+|Du_0|^2} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \tag{1.5}$$

where the prescribed contact angle given by $\cos^{-1}\phi$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ with $|\phi| \leq \phi_0 < 1$, ϕ_0 is a constant. Altschuler and Wu [1] proved that for $n = 2$, if Ω is strictly convex and $|D\phi| < \min \kappa(\partial\Omega)$, where κ is the curvature of $\partial\Omega$, then the solution of (1.1)($f = 0$),(1.4) with (1.5) either converges to a minimal surface (when $\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi = 0$), or behaves like moving by a vertical translation as t approaches infinity. For $\forall n \geq 2$ and for general smooth Ω and ϕ, Guan [4] proved that there exists a smooth solution of (1.1),(1.4)-(1.5). The author can give a new proof of (1.1),(1.4)-(1.5) for general case by the method in [11].

In this note, we consider the mean curvature flows for general Neumann problem (1.1)-(1.3). To study the behavior of graphs, it is important to derive the gradient bound for solution of (1.1)-(1.3). As the interior gradient estimate for (1.1) has been studied by Ecker and Huisken in [3], a key point is to derive the boundary gradient estimate in this note.

Now let's state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded C^3 domain, $n \geq 2$. Let γ be the inward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \bigcap C^3(\Omega) \times [0,T]$ for any fixed $T > 0$ is a bounded solution of (1.1)-(1.3) with $|u| \leq M_0$, where $M_0 > 0$ is a constant. We assume $f(x,z,p) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi(x,z) \in C^3(\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0])$ satisfying the following conditions*

$$f_z(x,z,p) \geq 0, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\frac{|f_x|}{|p|} + \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} |f_{p_j}| + |f - \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} f_{p_j} p_j| \leq o(\log|p|), \quad \text{as } |p| \to \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

and for some positive constant L

$$|\psi(x,z)|_{C^3(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])} \leq L. \tag{1.8}$$

Then there exists a small positive constant μ_0 such that we have the following boundary gradient estimate for any fixed $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}\times[0,T]} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on n, μ_0, M_0, which is from the interior gradient estimates; M_2 is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, μ_0, M_0, L, and $d(x) = \mathtt{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \Omega_{\mu_0} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \mu_0\}$.

Remark 1.2. *In particular, for $f = \tilde{f}(x,u)\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}$, Theorem 1.1 holds only if $\tilde{f}_z \geq 0$, and $|\tilde{f}| + |\tilde{f}_x|$ is bounded.*

As we stated before, there is a standard interior gradient estimates for the mean curvature flow.

Remark 1.3 ([3])**.** *Suppose for any fixed $T > 0$, $u \in C^3(\Omega) \times [0,T]$ is a bounded solution for the equation* (1.1) *with $|u| \leq M_0$, and if $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the condition* (1.6)-(1.7)*, then for any subdomain $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, we have*

$$\sup_{\Omega' \times [0,T]} |Du| \leq M_1, \tag{1.9}$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on $n, M_0, \mathtt{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$.

By the standard theory, we obtain the long time existence of solutions.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded C^3 domain, $n \geq 2$. Let γ be the inward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Under the conditions* (1.6)-(1.8) *and $\psi_u \geq 0$, then for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$ there exists a unique $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,\infty))$ solution of* (1.1)-(1.3)*.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will give the definitions and some notations, and derive the following a prior estimates for the solution

$$|u_t| \leq C_1, \quad M_T \equiv \max_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T]} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)| \leq C_1 T. \tag{1.10}$$

And in section 3, we give the boundary gradient estimate

$$|Du| \leq C_2 e^{C_3 M_T} \quad \text{in} \quad \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0} \times [0,T], \tag{1.11}$$

where μ_0 is a fixed small positive number to be determined later and C_1, C_2, C_3 are uniform constants independent of T. Then we finish the proof of the main Theorem 1.1 and obtain the long time existence of solutions. At last, we give an application.

2. $|u_t|$- ESTIMATES

We denote by Ω a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $\partial\Omega \in C^3$, set

$$d(x) = \mathtt{dist}(x, \partial\Omega),$$

and

$$\Omega_\mu = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \mu\}.$$

Then it is well known that there exists a positive constant $\mu_1 > 0$ such that $d(x) \in C^3(\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_1})$. As in Simon-Spruck [7] or Ma-Xu [6], we can take $\gamma = Dd$ in Ω_{μ_1} and note that γ is a $C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_1})$ vector field. We also have the following formulas

$$|D\gamma| + |D^2\gamma| \leq C(n, \Omega) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \gamma^i D_j \gamma^i = 0, \quad \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \gamma^i D_i \gamma^j = 0, \quad |\gamma| = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}. \tag{2.2}$$

As in [6], we define

$$c^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}, \tag{2.3}$$

and for a vector $\zeta \in R^n$, we write ζ' for the vector with $i-$th component $\sum_{1\leq j\leq n} c^{ij}\zeta_j$. So

$$|D'u|^2 = \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} c^{ij} u_i u_j. \tag{2.4}$$

Setting

$$v = (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a^{ij} = a^{ij}(Du),$$

then

$$a^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{v^2}, \quad \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij} u_i u_j = 1 - \frac{1}{v^2} \tag{2.5}$$

Now we first establish (1.10) and then (1.11) in the next section.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $f_u \geq 0$ and $\psi_u \geq 0$. Then we obtain the estimate*

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}\times[0,\infty)} |u_t| = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u_t(\cdot, 0)|.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the following: For any fixed $T > 0$, if u_t admits a positive local maximum at some point $(x_0, t_0) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, that is

$$u_t(x_0, t_0) = \max_{\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T]} u_t \geq 0,$$

then $t_0 = 0$. Now suppose $t_0 > 0$. It is easy to calculate that u_t satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} u_t = &\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}(u_t)_{ij} - \frac{1}{2u_t} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}(u_t)_i (u_t)_j - \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} f_{p_j}(u_t)_j \\ &- \frac{2}{v} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij} v_i (u_t)_j - f_u u_t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Then from $f_u \geq 0$, we obtain that u_t satisfies the parabolic maximum principle. Hence $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$.

On the other hand, we differentiate the Neumann boundary condition along the normal vector, and get from $\psi_u \geq 0$

$$(u_t)_\gamma = \psi_u u_t \geq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

But this contradicts the Hopf Lemma at (x_0, t_0). □

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T]} |u(x,t) - u_0(x)| \leq C_1 T, \tag{2.8}$$

where C_1 is a constant independent of T.

3. $|Du|$-ESTIMATES

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.1. We follow the technique in Ma-Xu[6]. Setting $w = u - \psi(x,u)d$, we choose the following auxiliary function

$$\Phi(x,t) = \log|Dw|^2 e^{1+M_0+u} e^{\alpha_0 d}, \quad (x,t) \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0} \times [0,T], \quad 0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$$

where $\alpha_0 = |\psi|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])} + C_0 + 1$, C_0 is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω.

For simplification, we let

$$\varphi(x,t) = \log\Phi(x,t) = \log\log|Dw|^2 + h(u) + g(d), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$h(u) = 1 + M_0 + u, \quad g(d) = \alpha_0 d. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose that $\varphi(x,t)$ attains its maximum at $(x_0,t_0) \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0} \times [0,T]$, where $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ is a sufficiently small number which shall be decided later. Now we divide three cases to prove Theorem 1.1.

Case 1. $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, then we shall get the bound of $|Du|(x_0,t_0)$.

Case 2. $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega$, then we shall get the estimates via the interior gradient bound in Remark 1.3.

Case 3. $x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$, in this case for the sufficiently small constant $\mu_0 > 0$, then we can use the maximum principle to get the bound of $|Du|(x_0,t_0)$.

Proof. **Case 1.** $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. We differentiate φ along the normal direction.

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(|Dw|^2)_i\gamma^i}{|Dw|^2\log|Dw|^2} + h'u_\gamma + g'. \tag{3.3}$$

Since

$$w_i = u_i - \psi_u u_i d - \psi_{x_i} d - \psi\gamma^i, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$|Dw|^2 = |D'w|^2 + w_\gamma^2, \tag{3.5}$$

we have

$$w_\gamma = u_\gamma - \psi_u u_\gamma d - \psi_{x_i}\gamma^i d - \psi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$(|Dw|^2)_i = (|D'w|^2)_i \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying (2.1), (2.4) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(|Dw|^2)_i\gamma^i = (|D'w|^2)_i\gamma^i = 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}w_{ki}w_l\gamma^i = 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}u_{ki}u_l\gamma^i - 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}u_l D_k\psi, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$D_k\psi = \psi_{x_k} + \psi_u u_k.$$

Differentiating the Neumann boundary value condition in (1.2) with respect to tangential direction, we have

$$\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}(u_\gamma)_k = \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}D_k\psi. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{1\le k,i\le n} c^{kl}u_{ik}\gamma^i = -\sum_{1\le k,i\le n} c^{kl}u_i(\gamma^i)_k + \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}D_k\psi. \tag{3.10}$$

Inserting (3.10) into (3.8) and combining (1.2), (3.3), we have

$$|Dw|^2\log|Dw|^2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0,t_0) = (g'(0)+h'\psi)|Dw|^2\log|Dw|^2 - 2\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl}u_iu_l(\gamma^i)_k. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.4), we obtain

$$|Dw|^2 = |Du|^2 - \psi^2 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \tag{3.12}$$

Assume $|Du|(x_0,t_0) \ge \sqrt{100+3|\psi|^2_{C^0(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])}}$, otherwise we get the estimates. At (x_0,t_0), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|Du|^2 \le |Dw|^2 \le |Du|^2, \quad |Dw|^2 \ge 50. \tag{3.13}$$

Inserting (3.13) into (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0,t_0) \ge& \alpha_0 - |\psi|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])} - \frac{\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n}|2c^{kl}u_iu_l(\gamma^i)_k|}{|Dw|^2\log|Dw|^2}\\ \ge& \alpha_0 - |\psi|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])} - C_0\\ >& 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0,t_0) \le 0,$$

it is a contradiction to (3.14).

Then we have

$$|Du|(x_0,t_0) \le \sqrt{100+2|\psi|^2_{C^0(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])}}. \tag{3.15}$$

□

Case 2. $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega$. This is due to interior gradient estimates. From Remark 1.3, we have

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega_{\mu_0}\bigcap\Omega\times[0,T]} |Du| \le \tilde{M}_1, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\tilde{M}_1$ is a positive constant depending only on n, M_0, μ_0, L_1.

Case 3. $x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$. In this case, x_0 is a critical point of φ. We choose the normal coordinate at x_0, by rotating the coordinate system suitably, we may assume that $w_i(x_0,t_0) = 0$, $2 \le i \le n$ and $w_1(x_0,t_0) = |Dw|(x_0,t_0) > 0$. And we can further assume that the matrix $(w_{ij}(x_0,t_0))(2 \le i,j \le n)$ is diagonal. We denote that the choice of coordinate has been used in Wang [10] and is slightly different from Ma-Xu[6]. The calculation in this paper is more simple than that in [6].

Setting

$$\mu_2 \le \frac{1}{100L_2}$$

such that

$$|\psi_u|\mu_2 \le \frac{1}{100}, \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{99}{100} \le 1-\psi_u\mu_2 \le \frac{101}{100}. \tag{3.17}$$

We can choose

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}\min\{\mu_1,\mu_2,1\}.$$

Since we have let

$$w = u - G, \quad G = \psi(x,u)d,$$

then we have

$$w_k = (1-G_u)u_k - G_{x_k}. \tag{3.18}$$

Since at (x_0,t_0),

$$w_1 = (1-G_u)u_1 - G_{x_1} = (1-G_u)u_1 - \psi_{x_1}d - \psi\gamma^1, \tag{3.19}$$

$$0 = w_i = (1-G_u)u_i - G_{x_i} = (1-G_u)u_i - \psi_{x_i}d - \psi\gamma^i, \quad i = 2,\dots,n. \tag{3.20}$$

So from the above relation, at (x_0,t_0), we can assume

$$u_1 \ge 200(1+|\psi|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])}), \tag{3.21}$$

then

$$\frac{19}{20}u_1 \le w_1 \le \frac{21}{20}u_1, \quad \frac{91}{100}w_1^2 \le |Du|^2 \le \frac{111}{100}w_1^2, \tag{3.22}$$

and by the choice of μ_0 and (3.17), we have

$$\frac{99}{100} \leq 1 - G_u \leq \frac{101}{100}. \tag{3.23}$$

From the above choices, we shall separate two steps to derive the estimate of $|Du|(x_0, t_0)$. As we mentioned before, all the calculations will be done at the fixed point (x_0, t_0).

Step 1 : We first get the formula (3.32).

Taking the first derivatives of φ,

$$\varphi_t = \frac{(|Dw|^2)_t}{|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2} + h' u_t, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\varphi_i = \frac{(|Dw|^2)_i}{|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2} + h' u_i + g' \gamma^i. \tag{3.25}$$

From $\varphi_i(x_0, t_0) = 0$, we have

$$(|Dw|^2)_i = -|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2 (h' u_i + g' \gamma^i) = 2 w_1^2 \log w_1 (h' u_i + g' \gamma^i). \tag{3.26}$$

Take the derivatives again for φ_i,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij} =& \frac{(|Dw|^2)_{ij}}{|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2} - (1 + \log |Dw|^2) \frac{(|Dw|^2)_i (|Dw|^2)_j}{(|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2)^2} \\ &+ h' u_{ij} + h'' u_i u_j + g'' \gamma^i \gamma^j + g' (\gamma^i)_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Using (3.26), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij} =& \frac{(|Dw|^2)_{ij}}{|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2} + h' u_{ij} - (1 + \log |Dw|^2) h'^2 u_i u_j \\ &- (1 + \log |Dw|^2) g'^2 \gamma^i \gamma^j - (1 + \log |Dw|^2) h' g' (\gamma^i u_j + \gamma^j u_i) + g' (\gamma^i)_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Then we get

$$0 \geq \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij} \varphi_{ij} - \varphi_t =: I_1 + I_2, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{|Dw|^2 \log |Dw|^2} \big[\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij} (|Dw|^2)_{ij} - (|Dw|^2)_t \big], \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij} \Big\{ & h' u_{ij} - (1 + \log |Dw|^2) h'^2 u_i u_j - (1 + \log |Dw|^2) g'^2 \gamma^i \gamma^j \\ & - 2 (1 + \log |Dw|^2) h' g' \gamma^i u_j + g' (\gamma^i)_j \Big\} - h' u_t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Now we first treat I_2. From the choice of the coordinate and the equations (1.1), (3.2), we have

$$I_2 \geq f - 2(1+\alpha_0^2 \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}\gamma^i\gamma^j)\log w_1 - C_4. \tag{3.32}$$

Step 2 : We calculate I_1 and get the formula (3.44). Then we finish the proof of gradient estimate (1.11).

Taking the first derivatives of $|Dw|^2$, we have

$$(|Dw|^2)_t = 2w_1 w_{1t}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$(|Dw|^2)_i = 2w_1 w_{1i}. \tag{3.34}$$

Taking the derivatives of $(|Dw|^2)_i$ with respect to x_j, we have

$$(|Dw|^2)_{ij} = 2w_1 w_{1ij} + 2w_{ki}w_{kj}. \tag{3.35}$$

By (3.30) and (3.35), we can rewrite I_1 as

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{w_1\log w_1}\big[\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}w_{ij1} - w_{1t}\big] + \frac{1}{w_1^2\log w_1}\sum_{1\leq i,j,k\leq n} a^{ij}w_{ki}w_{kj}. \tag{3.36}$$

In the following, we shall deal with I_1. As we have let

$$w = u - G, \quad G = \psi(x,u)d, \tag{3.37}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 =& (1-G_u)u_1 - G_{x_1},\\
w_{1i} =& (1-G_u)u_{1i} - G_{uu}u_1u_i - G_{ux_i}u_1 - G_{ux_1}u_i - G_{x_1x_i}, \qquad (3.38)\\
w_{1ij} =& (1-G_u)u_{1ij} - G_{uu}(u_{1i}u_j + u_{1j}u_i + u_{ij}u_1)\\
&- G_{ux_i}u_{1j} - G_{ux_j}u_{1i} - G_{ux_1}u_{ij}\\
&- G_{uuu}u_1u_iu_j - G_{uux_i}u_ju_1 - G_{uux_j}u_iu_1 - G_{uux_1}u_iu_j\\
&- G_{ux_ix_j}u_1 - G_{ux_1x_j}u_i - G_{ux_ix_1}u_j - G_{x_ix_jx_1}. \qquad (3.39)
\end{aligned}$$

So from the choice of the coordinate and the equation (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}w_{ij1} - w_{1t} \geq& (1-G_u)[\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}u_{ij1} - u_{1t}] - (G_{uu}u_1 + G_{ux_1})f\\
&- 2G_{uu}\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}u_{1i}u_j - 2\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}G_{ux_i}u_{1j} - C_5u_1. \qquad (3.40)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (1.1), we have

$$\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}u_{ij1} - u_{t1} = -\sum_{1\leq i,j,l\leq n} a^{ij}_{p_l}u_{l1}u_{ij} + D_1 f. \tag{3.41}$$

and

$$a^{ij}_{p_l} = \frac{2u_iu_ju_l}{v^4} - \frac{\delta_{il}u_j + \delta_{jl}u_i}{v^2}. \tag{3.42}$$

From (3.42), we have

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}u_{ij1} - u_{t1} = \frac{2}{v^2}\sum_{1\le i,j,l\le n} a^{il}u_{l1}u_{ij}u_j + D_1 f. \tag{3.43}$$

Inserting (3.43) into (3.40) and (3.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \ge & \frac{1}{w_1^2\log w_1}\Big[\sum_{1\le i,j,k\le n} a^{ij}w_{ki}w_{kj} + \frac{2(1-G_u)}{v^2}w_1\sum_{1\le i,j,l\le n} a^{il}u_{l1}u_{ij}u_j \\ & - 2w_1\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}(G_{uu}u_i + G_{ux_i})u_{1j} + (1-G_u)w_1D_1f \\ & - (G_{uu}u_1 + G_{ux_1})w_1 f - C_7u_1^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Next we shall treat the second derivative terms in (3.44), using the first order derivative condition $\varphi_i(x_0,t_0) = 0$. By (3.26) and (3.34), we have

$$w_{1i} = -\,w_1\log w_1(h'u_i + g'\gamma^i), \qquad i = 1,2,\dots,n. \tag{3.45}$$

Putting (3.38) into (3.45), by the choice of coordinate, we get

$$(1-G_u)u_{1i} = -\,w_1\log w_1(h'u_i + g'\gamma^i) + (G_{uu}u_1 + G_{ux_1})u_i + (u_1G_{ux_i} + G_{x_1x_i}), \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n. \tag{3.46}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1\le i,j,k\le n} a^{ij}w_{ki}w_{kj} + \frac{2(1-G_u)}{v^2}w_1\sum_{1\le i,j,l\le n} a^{il}u_{l1}u_{ij}u_j - 2w_1\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}(G_{uu}u_i + G_{ux_i})u_{1j} \\ & \ge 3(1+\alpha_0^2\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}\gamma^i\gamma^j)w_1^2\log^2 w_1 - C_8w_1^2\log w_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1-G_u)D_1f =& (1-G_u)f_{x_1} + (1-G_u)f_uu_1 + (1-G_u)\sum_{1\le j\le n} f_{p_j}u_{j1} \\ =& (1-G_u)f_{x_1} + (1-G_u)f_uu_1 - h'w_1\log w_1\sum_{1\le j\le n} f_{p_j}u_j \\ & + (G_{uu}u_1 + G_{ux_1})\sum_{1\le j\le n} f_{p_j}u_j - g'w_1\log w_1\sum_{1\le j\le n} f_{p_j}\gamma^j \\ & + \sum_{1\le j\le n} f_{p_j}(u_1G_{ux_j} + G_{x_1x_j}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Inserting (3.47) and (3.48) into (3.44), and combining (3.44), we get

$$0 \geq \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}\varphi_{ij} - \varphi_t \geq \frac{1}{4}\log w_1 - C_9. \tag{3.49}$$

Since $\varphi(x,t) \leq \varphi(x_0,t_0)$, we get the gradient estimate (1.11) and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Consequently, equation (1.1) is uniformly parabolic in $\Omega\times[0,T)$ for any fixed $T>0$. By the standard theory, we obtain the long time existence of solutions. That is Theorem 1.4.

Thus Huisken's result in [5] can be as an application. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded $C^{2,\alpha}$ domain for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $n \geq 2$. Let γ be the inward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Problem* (1.1)-(1.3) *for $f = 0$ and $\psi = 0$ admits a solution $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,\infty))$ satisfying the estimates* (1.9),(1.10),(1.11) *and asymptotically converges to a constant function as $t \to \infty$.*

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor Xinan Ma for helpful discussions and encouragement.

References

[1] Altschuler S.J. and Wu L.F., *Translating surfaces of the non-parametric mean curvature flow with prescribed contact angle*, Calc. Var. 2(1994), 101-111.
[2] Ben Andrews and Julie Clutterbuck, *Time-interior gradient estimates for quasilinear parabolic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 58(1):351C380, 2009.
[3] Ecker.K and Huisken., *Interior curvature estimates for hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, Ann.Inst.H.Poincare' Anal.Non Lin'eaire 6 (1989), 251-260.
[4] Guan B., *Mean curvature motion of non-parametric hypersurfaces with contact angle condition*, In Elliptic and Parabolic methods in Geometry, A K Peters, Wellesley(MA), (1996), 47-56.
[5] Huisken G., *Non-parametric mean curvature evolution with boundary conditions* , J.Differential Equations, 77(1989), 369-378.
[6] Ma X.N. and Xu J.J., *Gradient estimates of mean curvature equations with Neumann boundary condition.* Adv.Math. 290(2016), 1010-1039.
[7] Simon L. and Spruck J., *Existence and regularity of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 61(1976), 19-34.
[8] Spruck J., *On the existence of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28(1975), 189-200.
[9] Ural'tseva N., *Solvability of the capillary problem*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. No. 19(1973), 54-64, No. 1(1975), 143-149[Russian]. English Translation in vestnik Leningrad Univ.math. 6(1979), 363-375, 8(1980), 151-158.
[10] Wang X.-J., *Interior gradient estimates for mean curvature equations*, Math.Z. 228(1998), 73-81.
[11] Xu Jinju, *A new proof of gradient estimates for mean curvature equations with oblique boundary conditions*, arxiv 1411.5790.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444 CHINA
E-mail address: jjxujane@shu.edu.cn